3-13-02

STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS

D. PAUL SONDEL,

EEOC Case No. 15DA10209

Petitioner,

FCHR Case No. 21-01071

V.

DOAH Case No. 01-4887 1)W1)-(4)5ed

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

FCHR Order No. 02-024

Respondent.

FINAL ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR RELIEF FROM AN UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

Preliminary Matters

Petitioner D. Paul Sondel filed a complaint of discrimination pursuant to the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Sections 760.01 - 760.11, Florida Statutes (1999), alleging that Respondent Department of Corrections committed an unlawful employment practice on the basis of Petitioner's age (72) when it denied him an interview for, and employment in, a position for which he had applied.

The allegations set forth in the complaint were investigated, and, on November 8, 2001, the Executive Director issued his determination finding that there was no reasonable cause to believe that an unlawful employment practice had occurred.

Petitioner filed a Petition for Relief from an Unlawful Employment Practice, and the case was transmitted to the Division of Administrative Hearings for the conduct of a formal proceeding.

An evidentiary hearing was held in Tallahassee, Florida, on February 26, 2002, before Administrative Law Judge Don W. Davis.

Judge Davis issued a Recommended Order of dismissal, dated March 13, 2002.

The Commission panel designated below considered the record of this matter and determined the action to be taken on the Recommended Order.

Findings of Fact

A transcript of the proceeding before the Administrative Law Judge was not filed with the Commission.

We adopt the Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact.

FCHR Order No. 02-024 Page 2



Conclusions of Law

We find the Administrative Law Judge's application of the law to the facts to result in a correct disposition of the matter.

We note that the Administrative Law Judge concludes that as an element of establishing a prima facie case of discrimination a causal connection must be shown between the act complained of and the protected class. See, Recommended Order, ¶ 12.

We conclude this to be error, albeit harmless error in this instance.

The Commission has indicated that this element is actually what a Petitioner is attempting to show by establishing a prima facie case of discrimination, and that this should not, itself, be an element of the test for a prima facie case. See, <u>Baxla v. Fleetwood Enterprises</u>, <u>Inc., d/b/a Fleetwood Homes of Florida</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 20 F.A.L.R. 2583, at 2585 (FCHR 1998), citing <u>Pugh v. Walt Disney World</u>, 18 F.A.L.R. 1971, at 1972 (FCHR 1995), and <u>Martinez v. Orange County Fleet Manager</u>, 21 F.A.L.R. 163, at 164 (FCHR 1997).

In modifying the conclusions of law of the Administrative Law Judge as explained, supra, we find: (1) that the conclusion of law being modified is a conclusion of law over which the Commission has substantive jurisdiction, namely a conclusion of law stating what must be demonstrated to establish a prima facie case of unlawful discrimination under the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992; (2) that the reason the modification is being made by the Commission is that the conclusion of law as stated runs contrary to previous Commission decisions on the issue; and (3) that in making this modification the conclusion of law we are substituting is as or more reasonable than the conclusion of law which has been rejected. See, Section 120.57(1)(1), Florida Statutes (2001).

With the modification indicated, we adopt the Administrative Law Judge's conclusions of law.

Exceptions

Neither party filed exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Dismissal

The Petition for Relief and Complaint of Discrimination are DISMISSED with prejudice. The parties have the right to seek judicial review of this Order. The Commission and the appropriate District Court of Appeal must receive notice of appeal within 30 days of the date this Order is filed with the Clerk of the Commission. Explanation of the right to appeal is found in Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, and in the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.110.

DONE AND ORDERED this 25 day of July, 2002. FOR THE FLORIDA COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS:

FCHR Order No. 02-024 Page 3

Commissioner Roosevelt Paige, Panel Chairperson; Commissioner John Corbett; and Commissioner Donna Elam

Filed this 25 day of ____

in Tallahassee, Florida.

Violet Crawford, Clerk

Commission on Human Relations 2009 Apalachee Parkway, Suite 100

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 488-7082

NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT / PETITIONER

As your complaint was filed under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which is enforced by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), you have the right to request EEOC to review this Commission's final agency action. To secure a "substantial weight review" by EEOC, you must request it in writing within 15 days of your receipt of this Order. Send your request to Miami District Office (EEOC), One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2700, 27th Floor, Miami, FL 33131.

Copies furnished to:

D. Paul Sondel 2135 Victory Garden Lane Tallahassee, FL 32301-8507

Gary L. Grant, Esq.
Department of Corrections
2601 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Don W. Davis, Administrative Law Judge, DOAH

James Mallue, Legal Advisor for Commission Panel